

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigama 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/911,720	07/25/2001	Kenichi Ohura	Q65001	4065
75	690 08/01/2003			
SUGHRU, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			JOHNSTONE, ADRIENNE C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	9
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/911,720	OHURA, KENICHI			
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Adrienne C. Johnstone	1733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	May 2003				
·_ ·	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicatio					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2001 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in App	olication No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been	n received.			
Attachment(s)		- X-			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ad	ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 09/911,720

Art Unit 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I and linking Group IV, claims 1-8 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 9-12 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: PNEUMATIC RADIAL TIRES WITH AT LEAST TWO MONOFILAMENT CORD BELT LAYERS.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear whether or not the term "cord group" requires the monofilament cords arranged side by side within a group (no spacing between monofilament cords in a group) to be spaced from the adjacent groups of monofilament cords as in Figures 2A and 2B.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,720

Ärt Unit: 1733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 2, and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caretta (4,819,705) in view of the admitted prior art.

The only difference between the prior art tire and the claimed tire is the presence of an innerliner, as evidenced by Caretta (col. 2 line 32 - col. 6 line 58) for example; however, it is conventional to provide an innerliner in pneumatic radial tires as evidenced by applicant (Jepson claim format and specification paragraph 0012) for example. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such conventional innerliner structure in the prior art tire. As to claims 4-7, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such notoriously well known innerliner thickness, tread width, and PEN cords in the careass and overlay of the prior art tire.

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caretta (4,819,705) in view of the admitted prior art as applied to claims 1, 2, and 4-7 above, and further in view of Bourgois (5,234,044).

It is conventional to provide such monofilament cords in side by side groups, as evidenced by Bourgois (embodiment of Figure 8) for example. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such conventional monofilament cord grouping in the belt of the above tire.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,720

Art Unit: 1733

10. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caretta (4,819,705) in view of the admitted prior art as applied to claims 1, 2, and 4-7 above, and further in view of Zhang et al. (6,026,878) cited by applicant.

It is well known to provide an organic fiber cord bias insert to reinforce the sidewalls of pneumatic radial tires, as evidenced by Zhang et al. (col. 9 lines 17-48) for example. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such well known inserts in the above tire in order to reinforce the sidewalls.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adrienne C. Johnstone whose telephone number is (703)308-2059. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703)308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9311 for regular communications and (703)872-9310 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Adrienne C. Johnstone Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

alloane C. Johnson

Adrienne Johnstone July 28, 2003